

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/188,492	11/09/1998	SEONGHO BAE	33012-250-10	4421
27516 759	90 03/24/2005		EXAM	INER
UNISYS COR	PORATION		WASSUM	, LUKE S
MS 4773				
PO BOX 64942			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAUL, MN	L, MN 55164-0942		2167	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/188,492	BAE, SEONGHO
Examiner	And Hould
LAGIIIIIGI	Art Unit

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 07 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appea has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
FEIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	

Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-25.

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Luke S. Wassum **Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 2167

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding the Applicant's argument that independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 16 are not anticipated by the Remington et al. reference, the examiner respectfully responds that the limitation at issue (that the report is delivered in response to a user logon service request) is anticipated by the disclosure of col. 8, lines 17-22. The bill arrives in the form of an email message, and that email message is transmitte in response to a user's logon service request to the email server. For instance, when a user starts up (for example) Microsoft Outlook, the application sends a logon service request to the email server, and in response to this logon service request, email is downloaded. This interpretation is supported by the claim limitation in claim 1 that the logon service request is generated by the user terminal, as opposed t the interpretation that the logon service request constitutes the user logging into the user terminal, which seems to be the interpretation argued by the Applicant.

Regarding the Applicant's argument that independent claim 21 is not anticipated by the Remington et al. reference, the examiner respectfully responds that at col. 16, lines 36-38, the reference teaches that "the bill" (i.e., a single bill, interpreted by the examiner as the claimed 'report') is transferred to "the appropriate customers" (i.e., a plurality of customers, interpreted by the examiner as the claimed plurality of users). The reference explicitly teaches the transmission of a single bill/report to a plurality of customers/users. The examiner asserts that the receipt of electronic mail renders the use of a user terminal, whether that terminal be a computer, PDA, cell phone or othe terminal, inherent.

Remington et al. further teaches the transmission of said bills to a plurality of consumers. Since the transmission is accomplished via electronic mail, transmission to a plurality of customers would render the presence of a plurality of user terminals inherent in the reference

The rejections of record are maintained by the examiner.